Research Report

DEPRESSION, ANXIETY AND STRESS AMONG THE LGBTQIA+ POPULATION IN NORTHERN KERALA: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

Linda L Lawrence¹, Aswin Sasidharan ², Ajitha C ³, Kunhikoyamu AM⁴

- 1. Junior Resident, 4. Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Malabar Medical College and Research Centre, Kozhikode.
- 2. Assistant Professor, 3. Professor and Head, Department of Psychiatry, MES Medical College, Perinthalmanna
- *Corresponding Author: Junior Resident, Malabar Medical College and Research Centre, Kozhikode.

Email: drlindallawrence@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: LGBTQIA+ are a minority exposed to discrimination, stigma, and unfavorable legislation. They face difficulty in accessing appropriate healthcare interventions, which makes them more prone to psychological dysfunction. However, currently, there is a dearth of research in this area. Hence, this study aimed to estimate the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and stress among the LGBTQIA+ population in Northern Kerala. Also, this study attempted to find the association between sociodemographic profile and severity of anxiety, depression, and stress. Materials and Methods: Depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, and stress levels were evaluated in 78 participants from a health awareness camp for the LGBTQIA+ community using the PHQ-9 questionnaire, GAD-7 scale, and Perceived Stress Scale. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 20.0. Results: The majority of the participants experienced minimal to mild anxiety (70.5%) and depressive (47.5%) symptoms. Moderate level of stress was reported by 84.6% of participants. Association between anxiety symptoms and sociodemographic variables like biological sex and gender identity were found to be statistically significant (chi-square=14.986, p value=0.005 and chi-square=12.816, p value=0.046). Depressive symptoms had a significant association with gender identity (chi-square=18.132, p value=0.034), and stress had an association with socioeconomic status, which was found to be statistically significant. (chi-square = 15.846, p value=0.003). Conclusion: The burden afforded by anxiety, depression, and stress on the lives of the LGBTQIA+ community warrants early detection and psychiatric intervention. In light of a dearth of studies, further research in this area is the need of the hour.

Keywords: Depression, Anxiety, Stress, LGBTQIA+ population.

INTRODUCTION

LGBTQIA+ are one of the most marginalized and underprivileged sections in society. 1,2,3 The emotional and physical trauma persists due to the hopelessness, problems negligence, inadequate support from family, and inadequate employment possibilities, added to the preexisting conditions of marginalization and social exclusion. 3,4 A study by Meyer et al identified that numerous stressors were associated with minority identification; for instance, some individuals may internalise stigma, conceal their identity out of fear of injury, or be suspicious of others (expectations of rejection). There are three minority stress mechanisms that are pertinent to LGBTQIA+ people. These include (a) objective, external stressful situations and events (both

acute and chronic), (b) the expectation of such situations and the level of attention that goes along with them, and (c) internalizing negative attitudes from society. ^{5,6,7}According to King et al. (2008) systematic review, LGBTQIA+ individuals are more likely than heterosexuals to experience mental health issues, suicidal thoughts, substance abuse, and intentional self-harm ⁸

A study conducted by Ferlatte et al in Canada in 2019 found the prevalence of depression as 37.5% and 73.6% were found to have suicidal risk. In a Study conducted in Poland by Kardasz et al LGBTQ+ individuals had shown higher anxiety scores in comparison to heterosexual individuals. A Study conducted by Parchem et al in United States found mean anxiety symptoms were significantly higher when compared to pre-

Access the article online: https://kjponline.com/index.php/kjp/article/view

doi:10.30834/KJP.37.2.2024.474. Received on: 07/10/2024 Accepted on: 26/01/2025 Web Published:27 /01/2025 Please cite the article as Lawrence LL, Ajitha C, Aswin S, Kunhikoyamu AM. Depression, Anxiety, and Stress amongthe LGBTQIA+ Population in Northern Kerala: A Cross-sectional Study. Kerala Journal of Psychiatry 2024;37(2):120-128.

pandemic era, but no differences in mean depressive symptoms were detected. Results differed according to gender and sexual minorities. Financial stress, pandemic-related concerns, and witnessing discrimination were risk factors while academic performance, positive mental health, formal support were protective factors. ¹¹A study conducted in United States by Felner et al identified stressors such as self-stigma, expectations of rejection, and interpersonal and structural discrimination. ¹²

A recent study conducted in Kerala by Das et al during Covid 19 found that 44.3% of the participants from the LGBTIQIA+ community experienced depression and 41.5% experienced anxiety compared to other members of society.³ In a research project conducted under the research scheme of the National Human Rights Commission of India by Susanta Kumar et al in India found that 60.3%, 64.1% and 66.6% experienced stress, anxiety and depression respectively. The study also reported that higher scores of depressive and anxiety symptoms were Transgender among communities.¹³ In a study conducted by Hebbar et in Manipal (2018) 25% of the participants suffered from depression and 18.7% had anxiety disorders. 14

Meenal et al. found that 60% of the respondents had moderate, severe, or extremely severe depression in India. Anxiety that was moderate, severe, or extremely severe affected 71% of those surveyed. Fifty-one percent reported moderate, severe, or extremely severe stress. information showed a strong positive relationship between stress level and educational attainment.15 A study conducted by Longna et al in Assam found that 54% of participants experienced moderate level of stress.¹⁶

In the Indian setting, data on the exact population of the community is scarce. The Census in 2011 included conducted population for the first time, which estimated the third gender count to be around 5 lakhs.¹⁷ Homophobia and discrimination LGBTQIA+ individuals remain significant issues in India despite recent legal progress. Many LGBTQIA+ persons face stigma, harassment, and violence in daily life, exacerbating mental health challenges and limiting access to essential

rights. ¹⁸ Such challenges make them more prone to anxiety, depression, and stress. Early detection and management of psychiatric illness improve their quality of life, which emphasizes the need for adequate training and awareness among healthcare providers. Currently, research is scarce in this area. Hence, our study aimed to estimate the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and stress among the LGBTQIA+ population in Northern Kerala and to find the association between sociodemographic profile and severity of anxiety, depression, and stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Cross-sectional study was conducted among the LGBTQIA+ population attending awareness camp conducted by the District Social Justice Department. The camp was conducted in December 2023 at District Panchayat Hall, Civil Station Malappuram. The study population included people above 18 years of age and those who gave consent. Self-reported participants with severe medical illness were excluded. Participants with intellectual disability were excluded based on clinical assessment. The sample size was calculated to be 78 using the formula: $n = \frac{z_{\alpha}^2 pq}{d^2}$ where $z_{\alpha} =$ standard normal value = 1.96, P = prevalence = 44.3(taken from a study conducted by Das et al in Kerala³), q = 100p = 55.7, d = absolute error = 11%.

The research proposal was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from each study participant. Personal data like name or address were not collected. Individual data was kept confidential throughout the study. A total of 100 participants attended the awareness camp, out of which ninety-eight met the eligibility criteria. Five participants were not willing to participate in the study. Out of the Ninety-three participants, 78 were recruited for the study consecutively. Sociodemographic details like age, biological sex, gender identity, sexual orientation,

education, employment, socioeconomic status, and religion were collected. Depression, anxiety, and stress were assessed using PHQ9, GAD 7, and Perceived Stress Scale, respectively.

Study Tools

Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)

The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) 19 is a self-rated tool used for screening, diagnosing, and measuring the severity of depression with good internal consistency (Cronbach's α =0.89), reliability(r=0.945) and validity²⁰. It consists of 9 items, with responses graded from 0 to 3, resulting in total scores ranging from 0 to 27. The scoring designates levels of depression as follows: 1-4 indicates Minimal depression, 5-9 Mild depression, 10-14 Moderate depression, 15-19 Moderately severe depression, and 20-27 Severe depression.

Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item Questionnaire (GAD-7)

The Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item Questionnaire $(GAD-7)^{21}$ is used to screen for anxiety and assess its severity with good internal consistency (Cronbach's α =0.92), reliability(r=0.83) and validity. Responses are scored from 0 to 3, with total scores ranging from 0 to 21. The interpretation of scores is as follows: 0-4 indicates minimal anxiety, 5-9 indicates mild anxiety, 10-14 indicates moderate anxiety, and a score greater than 15 indicates severe anxiety.

Perceived Stress Scale

The Perceived Stress Scale 22 is a widely used tool for measuring stress perception, with good internal consistency (Cronbach's α =0.78) and validity 23 . with responses scored from 0 to 4, resulting in total scores between 0 and 40. Scores of 0-13 indicate low stress, 14-26 indicate moderate stress, and 27-40 indicate high

perceived stress. The questionnaires were translated into Malayalam by principal investigator and it was back-translated by two other faculties in the department. To assess socioeconomic status, B G Prasad's updated socioeconomic scale was utilized, which is based on the family's per capita monthly income. ^{24,25}

Statistical Analysis

The quantitative and categorical data were represented as mean \pm SD and frequency (%), respectively. Data of all the participants were complete with respect to all the variables, hence there was no missing data. Normality test was performed (Kolmogorov Smirnov test) before selecting statistical test. Appropriate statistical tests like chi-square test/Fisher's exact/ Kruskal Wallis test were used to find the association of depression, anxiety, and stress with selected background variables. For all statistical interpretations, p < 0.05 was considered the threshold for statistical significance. statistical software program SPSS, version 20.0, was used to conduct the statistical analyses.

RESULTS

The sample size was 78. The mean age of the study participants was 31.94 (SD = 7.061) years. A normality test was done to determine whether the data followed a normal distribution. The socio-demographic description of the sample is summarized. (Table 1). Most of the participants had high school levels of education (51.3%) and were hailing from a lower middle socioeconomic status (75.6%). About half of the participants were Hindus (52.6%).

Among the 78 participants, the majority experienced minimal to mild anxiety (70.5%) and depressive (47.5%) symptoms. Additionally, 66 participants (84.6%) reported moderate stress levels, whereas 4 participants (5.1%) reported

high stress. (Table 2). The mean level of perceived stress was 19.128 (SD = 4.844).

Table 1: Sociodemographic Description of the Study Sample

| | | Frequency | Percentage |
|---|----------------|-----------|------------|
| | | (N) | (%) |
| | Male | 73 | 93.6 |
| Biological | Female | 4 | 5.1 |
| Sex | Prefer not to | | |
| | say | 1 | 1.3 |
| | Male | 4 | 5.1 |
| Gender Identity | Female | 1 | 1.3 |
| identity | Transgender | 72 | 92.3 |
| | Prefer not to | | |
| | say | 1 | 1.3 |
| | Bisexual | 5 | 6.4 |
| Sexual | Heterosexual | 1 | 1.3 |
| Orientation | Gay | 67 | 85.9 |
| 011011111111111111111111111111111111111 | Others | 3 | 3.8 |
| | Prefer not to | | |
| | say | 2 | 2.6 |
| | Primary School | 3 | 3.8 |
| Education | Middle School | 23 | 29.5 |
| Education | High School | 40 | 51.3 |
| | Graduate | 11 | 14.1 |
| | Professional | | |
| | Degree | 1 | 1.3 |
| _ | Unemployed | 23 | 29.5 |
| Employment | Unskilled work | 29 | 37.2 |
| | Semi-skilled | 19 | 24.4 |
| | Skilled | 7 | 9.0 |
| Socioeconom | Lower | 12 | 15.4 |
| ic status | Lower Middle | 59 | 75.6 |
| | Middle | 7 | 9.0 |
| | Nil | 2 | 2.6 |
| Religion | Hindu | 41 | 52.6 |
| | Christian | 11 | 14.1 |
| | Muslim | 24 | 30.8 |

Age was not following normal distribution; hence, the Kruskal Wallis test was done to compare age among different severity categories of depression, anxiety, and stress. Age did not show any significant association with anxiety symptoms, depressive symptoms, and stress. (Table 3).

Table 2: Prevalence of Depression, Anxiety and Stress in LGBTQIA+ Population

| | | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| | | (N) | (%) |
| | Minimal Anxiety | | |
| Anxiety | symptoms | 15 | 19.2 |
| symptoms | Mild Anxiety | | |
| | symptoms | 40 | 51.3 |
| | Moderate Anxiety | | |
| | symptoms | 17 | 21.8 |
| | Severe Anxiety | | |
| | symptoms | 6 | 7.7 |
| | No Depressive | | |
| | symptoms | 6 | 7.7 |
| | Minimal | | |
| Depressive | Depressive | | |
| symptoms | symptoms | 8 | 10.3 |
| | Mild Depressive | | |
| | symptoms | 29 | 37.2 |
| | Moderate | | |
| | depressive | | |
| | symptoms | 18 | 23.1 |
| | Moderately Severe | | |
| | depressive | | |
| | symptoms | 10 | 12.8 |
| | Severe Depressive | | |
| | symptoms | 7 | 9.0 |
| | Low Stress | 8 | 10.3 |
| Perceived | Moderate Stress | 66 | 84.6 |
| Stress | High Stress | 4 | 5.1 |

There was a statistically significant association between anxiety symptoms and sociodemographic variables like biological sex (p=0.005) and gender identity (p=0.046). (Table 4)

Table 3: Association of Age with Anxiety Symptoms, Depressive Symptoms, and Perceived Stress

| Variables | | Category | Mean | p- |
|-----------|------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| | | | rank | value |
| | | Minimal /mild anxiety | 41.85 | |
| | Anxiety | symptoms | | 0.24 |
| | | Moderate anxiety | 36.41 | |
| | | symptoms | | |
| | | Severe anxiety | 26.67 | |
| | | symptoms | | |
| Α | | No depressive | 29.33 | |
| G | | symptoms | | |
| Е | | Minimal/mild | 41.43 | 0.51 |
| | Depression | depressive symptoms | | |
| | | Moderate depressive | 42.50 | |
| | | symptoms | | |

| | Moderately | 35.71 | |
|--------|--------------------------|-------|------|
| | severe/severe depressive | | |
| | symptoms | | |
| Stress | Low perceived stress | 33.69 | |
| | Moderate perceived | 41.43 | 0.41 |
| | stress | 11.13 | |
| | High perceived stress | 42.50 | |
| | | | |

Among the socio-demographic variables, depressive symptoms had a significant association with gender identity (p=0.034). (Table 5) While biological sex, which was related to anxiety, showed no significant association.

Table 4: Association of Anxiety Symptoms with Sociodemographic Variables among LGBTQIA+Population

| Min | Mod | Mod | \(\gamma^2 \setminus F

| | | Min | Mod | Mod/ | χ^2/F |
|------------|------------|-----|-----|--------|------------|
| | | | | Severe | P |
| | Male | 52 | 17 | 4 | |
| Biological | Female | 3 | 0 | 1 | 14.98 |
| Sex | Prefer not | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| | to say | | U | 1 | 0.005 |
| | Male | 3 | 1 | 0 | |
| Gender | Female | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Identity | Transgend | 51 | 16 | 5 | 12.81 |
| | er | 31 | 10 | 3 | 0.04 |
| | Prefer not | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| | to say | | | 1 | |
| | Gay | 48 | 15 | 4 | |
| Sexual | Heterosexu | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Orientatio | al | | U | 1 | 14.60 |
| n | Bisexual | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0.06 |
| | Others | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Prefer not | 2 | 1 | 0 | |
| | to say | 2 | 1 | U | |
| | Primary | 2 | 0 | 1 | |
| | School | 2 | Ü | 1 | |
| | Middle | 16 | 6 | 1 | |
| Education | School | 10 | Ü | 1 | 6.80 |
| | High | 29 | 7 | 4 | 0.55 |
| | School | | | | |
| | Graduate | 7 | 4 | 0 | |
| | Profession | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| | al Degree | _ | Ů | Ů | |
| | Unemploy | 12 | 7 | 4 | |
| Employme | ed | | | - | 12.59 |
| nt | Unskilled | 26 | 3 | 0 | |
| | Semi- | 11 | 6 | 2 | 0.05 |
| | skilled | | | | |
| | Skilled | 6 | 1 | 0 | |
| Socio- | Middle | 6 | 0 | 1 | |
| economic | Lower | 43 | 13 | 3 | 5.42 |
| status | Middle | 15 | 13 | | |

| | Lower | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0.24 |
|----------|-----------|----|----|---|-------|
| | Nil | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| | Hindu | 30 | 10 | 1 | 10.03 |
| Religion | Christian | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0.12 |
| | Muslim | 16 | 3 | 5 | |

Min- Minimal; Mod - Moderate

Table 5: Association of Depressive Symptoms with Sociodemographic Variables among LGBTQIA+ Population

| - I | | Min | Mild | Mod | Mod/ | χ^2 |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----|------|-----|------|----------|
| | | | | | Sev | P |
| | Male | 5 | 35 | 18 | 1 | |
| Biological | Female | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6.29 |
| Sex | Prefer not | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| | to say | U | | | 1 | 0.39 |
| | Male | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | |
| Gender | Female | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.13 |
| Identity | Transgen | 5 | 35 | 16 | 16 | |
| | der | 3 | 33 | 10 | 10 | |
| | Prefer not | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.03 |
| | to say | - | - | | | |
| 0 1 | Gay | 5 | 31 | 17 | 14 | |
| Sexual Orientatio | Heterose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| n | xual | Ť | - | | | 8.41 |
| - | Bisexual | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.75 |
| | Others | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0172 |
| | Prefer not | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| | to say | Ů | _ | Ů | Ů | |
| | Primary | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Education | School | | | | | |
| Education | Middle | 3 | 11 | 3 | 61 | |
| | School | | | | | 9.23 |
| | High | 3 | 16 | 13 | 8 | |
| | School | | | | | 0.68 |
| | Graduate | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | |
| | Professio | | | | | |
| | nal | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Degree | | | | | |
| | Unemplo | 3 | 7 | 5 | 8 | |
| Employme | yed | 1 | 1.6 | 10 | 2 | 15.7 |
| nt | Unskilled | 1 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 15.7 |
| | Semi- | 2 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0.07 |
| | skilled | 0 | (| 0 | 1 | 0.07 |
| SES | Skilled Middle | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | |
| SES | | U | 4 | 1 | | 5.36 |
| | Lower Middle | 6 | 25 | 16 | 12 | 3.30 |
| | Lower | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 0.49 |
| Religion | Nil | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.77 |
| Religion | Hindu | 5 | 19 | 10 | 7 | 7.77 |
| | Christian | 0 | 6 | 10 | 4 | |
| | | 1 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 0.55 |
| | Muslim | | | | U | |

Min- Minimal; Mod- Moderate; Sev - Severe

Perceived stress had a significant association with socioeconomic status (p=0.003), while biological sex and even gender identity showed no significant association. (Table 6)

Table 6: Association of Stress with Sociodemographic Variables among LGBTQIA+ Population

| | | Low | Mod | High | χ^2 |
|----------------|------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | p- |
| | | | | | value |
| | Male | 7 | 62 | 4 | |
| Biological Sex | Female | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1.32 |
| | Prefer not to say | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.85 |
| | Male | 0 | 4 | 0 | |
| Gender | Female | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9.70 |
| Identity | Transgender | 7 | 61 | 4 | 0.13 |
| | Prefer not to say | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| | Gay | 6 | 58 | 3 | |
| Sexual | Heterosexual | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| Orientation | Bisexual | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11.27 |
| | Others | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0.18 |
| | Prefer not to say | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Primary School | 0 | 3 | 0 | |
| Education | Middle School | 3 | 20 | 0 | 12.64 |
| | High School | 4 | 33 | 3 | 0.12 |
| | Graduate | 0 | 10 | 1 | |
| | Professional Degree | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Unemployed | 4 | 16 | 3 | |
| Employment | Unskilled | 2 | 27 | 0 | 7.89 |
| | Semi-skilled | 2 | 16 | 1 | 0.24 |
| | Skilled | 0 | 7 | 0 | |
| Socioeconomic | Middle | 1 | 4 | 2 | |
| status | Lower Middle | 7 | 52 | 0 | 15.84 0.003 |
| | Lower | 0 | 10 | 2 | |
| | Nil | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| Religion | Hindu | 6 | 33 | 2 | 3.22 |
| | Christian | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0.78 |
| Mod- Moderate | Muslim | 1 | 21 | 2 | |

DISCUSSION

In the current study, the majority of the participants were young. These findings were almost similar to a research study conducted by Susanta Kumar et al. India, where the mean age of the respondents was 29.97 ± 8.71 years. ¹³ Most

of the participants in the present study were Hindus followed by Muslims and Christians. This could be explained by the religious distribution of India according to the 2011 Census.¹⁷ The majority of the participants reported their biological sex as male followed by female. In a study conducted by Salim et al (2022) majority of participants reported their biological sex as male (63.1) .²⁶ In the present study, the sexual orientation of majority of the participants is gay, followed by bisexual. In a study conducted by Salim et al (2022) majority of participants reported their sexual orientation as Gay (42.3).²⁶

As per the present study about half of the participants had high school level of education and majority were engaged in unskilled job. A research project conducted in North India 13 reported that 29.6% had high school education and 48.8% did unskilled or semiskilled job in Lucknow, 43.8% had primary school education and 60.2% did unskilled job in Bhubaneswar, 23.2% had intermediate or post high school diploma and 56.4% were unemployed in Mumbai and 40.8% had high school education and 54.4% did unskilled job in Puducherry. The present study comprises majority of the participants with higher education when compared to North India. There is a considerable reduction in the number of participants engaged in unskilled job. This could be explained by the high literacy rate of Kerala as per the Census 2011.¹⁷ The importance given to education and the availability of job opportunities in South India may explain the findings.²⁷ This could be strengthened by implementing existing reservations in job and education for them.

In the present study majority of the participants had minimal to mild anxiety symptoms. A study conducted by Das et al in Kerala during Covid pandemic reported a higher level of anxiety symptoms (41.5%).³ A study conducted in Mumbai (77.2%) and Puducherry (54%) also

revealed severe levels of anxiety symptoms.¹³ Inadequate coping strategies and perpetuating significant psychosocial stressors in the sexual minority may be attributing for the finding. ^{5,6,7}

In the current study majority of the participants experienced minimal to mild depressive symptoms. A study conducted by Das et al in Kerala reported a severe level of depressive symptoms (44.3%).3 A study conducted by Susanta Kumar et al in Mumbai (74.4%) and Puducherry (68%) also identified severe depressive symptoms. 13 The finding from studies clearly portraits the intense distress and helplessness to which this minority is subjected to. Early detection of anxiety and depressive symptoms could prevent them from self-harm attempts, which could be addressed by providing speciality clinics in hospitals. Proper awareness should be given to public and the community through mass media which could reduce the stigma faced by them. Implementing the existing laws and providing adequate legislation could reduce the harassment and discrimination from the society.

Among the participants in the present study, the majority reported moderate stress followed by low stress and high stress. This can be because of the rejection and neglect they experience from others. which discourages them communicating to their families and other people about their challenges. All of these may be explained by Meyer et al.'s minority stress concept.5,6,7 The present study also reveals that the participants of lower and lower middle socioeconomic status are experiencing more those middle stress compared to in socioeconomic status. A study conducted by Jadva et al found that lower socioeconomic status was a risk factor for self-harm and suicide.²⁸

A study conducted by Yadav et al identified that most of the participants were physically assaulted by their parents and were denied personal needs, which compelled them to leave their families. The study also identified that they were stared and teased by others in common places.²⁹

A study conducted by Ahuja et al identified that the combination of limited access to affordable health services and social obstacles results in increased anxiety and depression. The study further emphasizes that the participants lack adequate awareness regarding the medical services available for their surgical and hormonal needs, in addition to the accessibility of hospitals. Lack of adequate training among healthcare providers, lack of specialist care in primary healthcare level and inaccessibility to insurance services (which lead to increased financial burden while accessing treatment options from private hospitals) were identified as a barrier to gender affirmation surgeries.³⁰

Strength of our study is one being a face-to-face evaluation, unlike majority of the studies which had utilized internet based google forms. Our study has various limitations: A larger sample size would be required for generalizing the results. The study design was a Cross-sectional study. The longitudinal course of the deficits and the various factors affecting them need to be assessed. Rating scales used are screening tools and not diagnostic tools.

CONCLUSION

The inclusion of new guidelines in medical education would enhance the awareness among healthcare workers. Establishing Psychiatry clinics and counseling sessions in hospitals would reduce the barrier to seeking healthcare. Proper measures against conversion therapy are needed. Appropriate legislation and the creation of safe spaces may help improve their quality of life. Awareness among the public could be

enhanced through social media, which reduces the stigma towards them.

Funding: Self-Funded

Conflict of Interest: None

REFERENCES

- 1. Sullivan MK. Sexual Minorities: Discrimination, Challenges and Development in America. New York: Routledge. 2003: 262. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315865485
- Kann L, Olsen EO, McManus T, Harris WA, Shanklin SL, Flint KH, Queen B, Lowry R, Chyen D, Whittle L, Thornton J, Lim C, Yamakawa Y, Brener N, Zaza S. Sexual Identity, Sex of Sexual Contacts, and Health-Related Behaviors Among Students in Grades 9-12 - United States and Selected Sites, 2015. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2016 Aug 12;65(9):1-202.
- 3. Das HK, Govindappa L. Anxiety, depression and social support of LGBTIQ during COVID-19 in Kerala, India. International Journal of Social Psychiatry. 2023 Jun 23;69(8):1971–8.
- 4. Lick DJ, Durso LE, Johnson KL. Minority stress and physical health among sexual minorities. Perspectives on Psychological Science. 2013 Sept;8(5):521–48.
- 5. Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and Mental Health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. Psychological Bulletin. 2003 Sept;129(5):674–97.
- 6. Meyer IH. Prejudice as stress: Conceptual and measurement problems. American Journal of Public Health. 2003 Feb;93(2):262–5.
- 7. Price J, Herek GM. Stigma and sexual orientation: Understanding prejudice against lesbians, gay men and bisexuals. Contemporary Sociology. 1999 Mar;28(2):172.
- 8. King M, Semlyen J, Tai SS, Killaspy H, Osborn D, Popelyuk D, et al. A systematic review of mental disorder, suicide, and

- deliberate self harm in lesbian, gay and bisexual people. BMC Psychiatry. 2008 Aug 18:8(1).
- 9. Ferlatte O, Salway T, Rice S, Oliffe JL, Rich AJ, Knight R, et al. Perceived barriers to mental health services among Canadian sexual and gender minorities with depression and at risk of suicide. Community Mental Health Journal. 2019 Jul 20;55(8):1313–21.
- Kardasz Z, Gerymski R, Parker A. Anxiety, attachment styles and life satisfaction in the Polish LGBTQ+ community. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2023 Jul 19;20(14):6392.
- 11. Parchem B, Wheeler A, Talaski A, Molock SD. Comparison of anxiety and depression rates among LGBTQ college students before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of American College Health. 2021 Dec 17;72(1):31–9.
- 12. Felner JK, Wisdom JP, Williams T, Katuska L, Haley SJ, Jun H-J, et al. Stress, coping, and context: Examining substance use among LGBTQ young adults with probable substance use disorders. Psychiatric Services. 2020 Feb 1;71(2):112–20.
- 13. Susanta KP. Substance Abuse and Mental LGBT Community in Inter-relationship between Me Stress, Coping, Perceived Soc.National human rights commission (India) nhrc.nic.in [cited June2024] Available from:
 - https://nhrc.nic.in/sites/default/files/SA_MH _ LGBT_ DrPathty_2024.pdf.
- 14. Hebbar NY, Majumder U, Singh R. A study on homosexuals and their psychiatric morbidities in a Northeastern State of India, Manipur. Indian Journal of Social Psychiatry. 2018;34(3):245.
- Solanki M, Tiwari P. Depression, Anxiety, and Stress among Indian LGBTQ+ Adults and Cishet Adults. J Indian Acad Appl Psychol. 2024;18(1):178-85.
- 16. Longna L, Deb K, Nagar V, Ray R. A crosssectional study on the perceived stress and coping strategies and their correlation in the homosexual and bisexual community in

- north-East India. Indian Journal of Social Psychiatry. 2023 Nov 3;40(3):275–81.
- 17. Census. Government of India. 2021 [Last accessed June 22024]. http://censusindia.gov.in
- 18. Dixit GP, Shukla M, Verma JK. A quick overview of LGBTQIA+ in India. Journal for Peace and Justice Studies. 2022;31(2):126–35.
- 19. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001 Sep;16(9):606-13.
- 20. Indu PS, Anilkumar TV, Vijayakumar K, Kumar KA, Sarma PS, Remadevi S, et al. Reliability and validity of PHQ-9 when administered by health workers for depression screening among women in primary care. Asian Journal of Psychiatry. 2018 Oct;37:10-4.
- 21. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006; 166:1092-7.
- 22. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. Journal of Health and Social Behavior. 24 (4): 385–396.
- 23. Harris KM, Gaffey AE, Schwartz JE, Krantz DS, Burg MM. The Perceived Stress Scale as a Measure of Stress: Decomposing Score Variance in Longitudinal Behavioral Medicine Studies. Ann Behav Med. 2023 Sep 13;57(10):846-854.
- 24. Khairnar MR, Kumar PG, Kusumakar A. Updated BG Prasad socioeconomic status classification for the year 2021. Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry. 2021 Apr;19(2):154–5.
- 25. Javalkar SR, H. S, B. Davalagi S, G. S. V. Socio economic status assessment in India: history and updates for 2024. Int J Community Med Public Health [Internet]. 2024 Feb. 29 [cited 2025 Feb. 12];11(3):1369-77.
- 26. Salim SM, Anilal L, Prabhakaran A. Sexual orientation change efforts among LGBT+ people of Kerala: Prevalence, correlates, and

- mental health aspects. Journal of Homosexuality. 2023 Feb 6;71(6):1487–506.
- 27. Happy PV. Kerala model development in education: an analytical study. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research. 2017; 4(6):576-584.
- 28. Jadva V, Guasp A, Bradlow JH, Bower-Brown S, Foley S. Predictors of self-harm and suicide in LGBT youth: The role of gender, socio-economic status, bullying and school experience. Journal of Public Health. 2021 Nov 27;45(1):102–8.
- 29. Yadav K, Padmanabhan J, Gorakhnath I. Socio-cultural problems of transgenders in India. International Journal of Early Childhood.;14(02):2022. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/36 0385576_SOCIO-CULTURAL_PROBLEMS_OF_TRANSGE NDERS IN INDIA.
- 30. Ahuja TK, Goel AD, Gupta MK, Joshi N, Choudhary A, Suman S, Taluja K, Mittal M, Ghuman NK, Suthar N, Bhardwaj P. Health care needs and barriers to care among the transgender population: a study from western Rajasthan. BMC Health Serv Res. 2024 Aug 26;24(1):989.